

www.ijramr.com



International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research Vol. 07, Issue 01, pp. 5533-5538, January, 2020

# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# **VOLUME MODELS FOR TROPICAL RAINFOREST ECOSYSTEM IN OGUN STATE, NIGERIA**

# \*Adebusuyi T.S., Adesuyi F.E. and Akinbowale A.S.

Department of Forestry and Wood Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure

### **ARTICLE INFO**

## ABSTRACT

Article History: Received 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2019 Received in revised form 17<sup>th</sup> November, 2019 Accepted 05<sup>th</sup> December, 2019 Published online 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020

#### Keywords:

Volume models, Form factor, Omo Forest Reserve, Biosphere, Elephant. Ogun State for volume model and form factor development. Ten(10) plots of equal sizes (50 x 50m) were randomly located in each of the forests using the systematic line transect sampling method. Trees with DBH  $\geq 20$  cm were enumerated. Growth variables measured include diameter at breast height, diameter at the base, diameter at the top, and the diameter at the middle of all living trees using the girth tape and Spiegel relaskop for trees with large buttresses or diameter while the total height was measured with the Spiegel Relaskop. Most of the tress in the Biosphere reserve fall in the diameter class of 20-30cm class. All data were screened with volume calculated using the Newton's formula .Correlation analysis was carried out to examine the linear relationship between volume and other growth variables. Three regression models were developed, assessed and validated. Assessment was based on the correlation coefficient (R), coefficient of determination (R<sup>2</sup>), standard error (SE) and F- ratio while validation was done using the residual analysis to examine the plausibility of the models for volume estimation. The tree form factor which is the ratio of the volume of the tree to that of a geometric solid was also estimated with the form factor for the whole forest estimated at 0.74 and the form factor for the Biosphere reserve and Elephant reserve estimated at 0.50 and 0.52 respectively.

Tree growth variables were collected at Elephant and Biosphere reserves of Omo Forest Reserve,

# **INTRODUCTION**

The tropical rainforest is one of the major vegetation types in the world and it is the most diverse of all terrestrial ecosystems containing more of animal and plant species than any other known biome (Turner, 2001). However, many tropical forests are under severe anthropogenic pressures and require management intervention to maintain and/or improve their biodiversity conservation, productivity and sustainability (Kumar et al., 2002) as trees are a major source of wide range wood and non-wood products. With characteristics of dense canopy trees and thickets, the tropical rainforest makes it difficult to measure variables needed for volume estimation from trees in the plots which the use of volume equations and variables (diameter at breast height and height) has helped overcome this difficulty using stem volume as a function of Diameter at Brest Height (DBH), height and form factor (Adekunle, 2007). For management and planning purposes, at both national and stand levels it is extremely important to know the volume of the forest resources and their growth rates (Atriell et al., 2010). Volume equations, that is dependent on height and diameter account for tree form differences; only to the extent that form is predictable from height and diameter. Volume also depends on a combination of diameter, height, and form, yet form is rarely included as an additional variable in standard volume equations for forest measurement and research

### \*Corresponding author: Adebusuyi T.S.,

Department of Forestry and Wood Technology, Federal University of Technology, Akure.

purposes (Hoyer, 1985). Volume estimation though old is avery important forestry practice that requires regular development is used to manage forest stand effectively to avoid unnecessary cost and ensure high level of precision. Volume estimation can either be direct or indirect where direct estimation includes water displacement methods, graphical fittings, height accumulation and is destructive because they require tree felling.Indirect estimation is done when the parts of the tree are represented by solids of revolution (like cylinder, frustum, cone etc.), makes use of volume tables and volume equations (Newton, Smalian and Huber). Adekunle (2007) noted that developing models for natural, tropical forest ecosystem is scarce and limited due to the complex ecosystem and the inability of age determination, thus, basal area or form factor is used as a replacement for the age. Despite the economic and environmental significance of forests, we have only imprecise measurements of the physical variables that determine their valued attributes, whether for natural habitat, timber volume, biomass, or stored carbon. Without accuracy, appraisals of trees will be discredited, assay of biomass will be deceptive, and claims of species diversity will be false. This brings about the need for precise Inventory or Forest Estimation.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Study Area:* Omo Forest Reserve, created in 1925, was administratively divided into four areas: J1, J3, J4 and J6 and its topography is characterized by an undulating terrain dominated by slopes up to 15% with elevation reaching 150

#### International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research

meters on a few rocky hills (Ogunleye, 2003). The Reserve; located in Ijebu East Local Government area of Ogun State and lies on Latitude 6° 35' - 7 ° 05'N and Longitudes 4° 19' - 4° 40'E (Amusaet al., 2012) was constituted in 1925 as part of a bigger Shasha Forest Reserve. Omo covers about 130,500 hectares, which includes a 460 ha Strict Nature Reserve (Okali and Ola-Adams 1987; NNMC, 2014). Present in the reserve is a Biosphere Reserve (popularly referred to as the Queen's forest) and the Elephant Forest with the Queen's forest having core area and buffer zone of 460 and 14 200 ha respectively, was constituted a Strict Nature Reserve in 1949 and Biosphere Reserve in 1977 (Isichei 1995, Were 2001). Its terrain is undulating with an elevation that is about 300m on some rocky hills. The climate is humid sub-tropical since it is within the tropical rainforest ecological zone with relative humidity during the rainy season ranging from 85 to 100% and less than 60% during the dry season (Fashinmirin and Oguntuase, 2008). The reserve has a mean annual rainfall between (1600 - 2000)mm) and average temperature of  $27^{\circ}$ C. The average elevation in Omo is 123 m and the soils are predominantly ferruginous tropical, which is typical of the variety found in intensively weathered areas of basement complex formations in the rainforest zone of south-western Nigeria. The soils are well drained, mature, red, stony and gravely in upper parts of the sequence, the texture of topsoil in both Elephant and Queen/ Biosphere is mainly sandy loam (Onyekwelu et al., 2008).

**Data Collection:** Six (6) plots of 50 x 50 meters each were located in the Biosphere reserve and four in the Elephant reserve. Enumeration of plots was done using the Non-Probability sampling technique (Systematic Line Transect). Two transects were gotten in the Biosphere reserve and one in the Elephant reserve. Complete enumeration and species identification were limited to trees with dbh 20m. Total height was recorded from ground level to the top of the crown, while commercial or merchantable bole height was the length of the trunk from the ground to the merchantable height of the tree (FAO, 2005)The horizontal distance from the tree was taken into account for the calculation of the height and is the most recommendable (Garcia, 2004).

*Data Analysis:* All the trees measured were identified with their scientific and common names. All parameters measured were analyzed using Microsoft Excel and SPSS software.

**Basal Area Estimation:** Basal area of all trees in sample plots is calculated using equation 1:

$$BA = \frac{(\pi D_2)}{4} \tag{1}$$

Where BA = Basal area (m<sup>2</sup>), D = Diameter at breast height (m) and  $\pi =$  pi (3.142). *Volume Estimation* 

The volume of each tree was calculated for all the plots using Newton's formula (equation 2) of Husch *et al* (2003):

$$V = \left(\overline{6}^{h}\right) * (Ab + 4Am + At)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

Where: V = Tree volume (in m<sup>3</sup>), Ab, Am and At= tree crosssectional area at the base, middle and top of merchantable height, respectively (in m<sup>2</sup>) and h = total or merchantable or bole height (meters). Bole, in this study, is the main stem of the tree from the soil surface to the place where the first large branch protrudes the stem (Chaidez, 2009). **Form factor estimation:** Form factor is the ratio of tree volume to the volume of a geometric solid (cylinder). The form factor (real or true form factor) was estimated for all species pooled. Real form factor is the real volume divided by the volume of a cylinder with basal area equivalent to the tree basal area at breast height and height equal to the tree height (Zobeiri, 2000). Form factors were estimated forthe entire stand by pooling all tree growth data together and for individual reserves. The cylindrical volume (Vc) and the form factors of the trees were estimated using equations 3 and 4 respectively:

$$Vc = \frac{\pi D^2}{4}h$$
(3)

Where Vt is the tree volume and Vc is the cylindrical volume of trees estimated.

$$f = \frac{Vt}{Vc} \tag{4}$$

*Volume model generation:* The simple linear, and the logarithm regression models were developed for individual tree growth variables across all sample plots. The generalized allometric equation for mathematics and science and the linear regression models that followed the general Schumacher (1939) yield models were used. The Schumacher model is of the form (equation 5):

$$Y = f(A, SQ, SD)$$
(5)

Three other equations used are

in each location.

$$\ln V = \alpha + \beta \ln BA + \varepsilon \text{ (Simple linear - double logarithm}$$
transformed) (6)

$$V = \alpha + \beta BA + \varepsilon \text{ (Simple linear)}$$
(7)

$$V = \alpha + \beta (D^2 H) + \varepsilon \text{ (Simple linear)}$$
(8)

Where Y = function of yield (volume), A = age, SQ = function of site quality e.g. height, and  $\beta$  are regression coefficients to be determined, Ln is the natural logarithm, *D* is DBH (cm), *H* is tree height (m) and  $\varepsilon$  is an error term. Equation 8 contains two parameters, but the dependence of volume was expressed as a composition of two independent variables, DBH and height, in the form D<sup>2</sup> × H.

Assessment of the models: The models were assessed to test their plausibility and suitability for further use. The following statistical criteria were used:

Significance of regression (F - ratio): - The tabulated critical value of F at p < 0.05 was compared with the calculated F-ratio. Where F-calculated exceeded F-tabulated, equations were considered significant and useful for prediction.

*Multiple correlation coefficient (R)*: R values >0.50 indicated a good fit.

*Coefficient of determination* ( $R^2$ ): Models were acceptable at R2value >50%.

Adjusted coefficient of determination  $(Radj^2)$ :Models were acceptable at R2value >50%.

*The regression standard error:* The value must be relatively small for a model to be valid.

Model Validation: Validation of the models was done by comparing the predicted volumes with field results (observed volumes) using statistical indices like Student's t-test, Simple Linear Regression Equation, and graphical analyses.70% of the pooled data was used for generating the models (total and merchantable volume models) and 30% of the data set aside for validation and tests for significant differences. In adopting the simple linear regression equation, the observed volume was the dependent variable while the model output was the independent variable (Adekunle, 2013). For models with good fit, the intercept must approach 0 and the slope approach 1, while the model must be significant (p < 0.05 or very high fratio value), hence, there must be high correlation between the observed and predicted values; the coefficient of determination values must also be very high (near 100%) and the standard error of estimates must be small (Adekunle et al., 2004; Adekunle, 2013).Residual plots were obtained for all the equations by plotting residual values against the independent variate i.e. the predicted volume (Ajit, 2010) to verify that the residuals are normally distributed and not over or under estimated. While there are many assumptions in the models, the essential multiple least-square regression assumptions are that the residuals should have normal distribution with zero mean and constant variance of the residuals. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test differences in the outputs of the developed models.

# RESULTS

In total, 276 trees were encountered in all the sampling plots representing 55 species of 25 families with Celtis mildbraedii been the most abundant specie with frequency of 43 stems. This was followed by Strombosia pustulata with 20 stems and basal area, volume per hectare recorded at 25.83 m<sup>2</sup> and 682.17 m<sup>3</sup>respectively. There was a relatively wide variation between minimum and maximum values for all tree growth variables. The mean DBH ranged from 20.70-161.2 cm while mean total height ranged from 12.75-56.93 m and all the species encountered in sample plots were used to estimate form factors and generating models. The most abundant species in the Elephant forest is Celtis mildbraedii having 17 stems, and this was followed by Cleistopholis patens having 10 stems. The family Moraceae accounted for the highest number of species (5 species) with basal area and volume per hectare of 12.54 m<sup>2</sup> and 540.34 m<sup>3</sup>respectively. In the Biosphere, Celtis mildbraedii was the most abundant tree species with 26 stems and the family Ulmaceae had the highest number of species with basal area and volume per hectare of  $20.70 \text{ m}^2$  and  $464.18 \text{ m}^3$  respectively. The diameter distribution of trees in both the Elephant and Biosphere Reserves is shown in Fig 1where the trees with the highest diameter are between 20-30cm for both the biosphere and elephant reserve and it shows the inverse J-shapedpattern common with tropical natural forest ecosystems.

Figure 2 and 3 showed the residual plot of residual value against predicted value using model 1 for both total volume and merchantable volume. The result showed that there is no upward and downward bias as the data were randomly distributed across the positive and negative axis. Also, the regression line is at zero. This confirms the goodness of fit of the model used for this study.

### DISCUSSION

The diversity of tree species observed in this forest reserve is very typical of the tropical rainforest ecosystem which is known as the richest of all ecosystems in the world due to its species diversity(Mulatu et.al., 2017; Zakaria, et. al., 2016) These rainforests are also useful in carrying out some specific roles in the ecosystem which include purification of both air and water, sequestrating carbon, stabilizing climate, moderating extreme temperature, supporting cultural and spiritual functions, supplying various products to improve the livelihood of the forest dependent people (Adekunle et al., 2005). Strict Nature Reserves (SNRs) are one of the in situ methods of management intervention that are needed to strictly protect rare habitats that are being disturbed with the influence of human beings. The results of this study revealed that Omo Forest Reserve contains many indigenous tropical hardwood tree species of different families. This is evidenced by the 276 stems (dbh 20 cm) belonging to 55 indigenous hardwood species, distributed in 25 important families. This is within the range reported by Campbell et al. (1992) who reported 245-467 stems for tropical rainforests but not as high as the 544 stems for a primary forest in Indonesia reported by Kessler et al. (2005), but in the range of 387 stems of 94 tree species distributed among thirty (30) families that was recorded by Adekunle et al. (2013) in a similar ecosystem. The Reserve was dominated by the families of Apocynaceae, Moraceae and Sterculiaceae. This agrees with the findings of Onyekwelu et al., (2008) and Adekunle et al., (2010) that the tropical rainforest ecosystem of Southwest Nigeria is dominated by the families Moraceae, Sterculiaceae and Euphorbiaceae.

Stand models are useful for estimating growth and yield of any stand as well as projecting values of other parameters like basal area, mean dbh, height and number of trees per hectare (Luo, et al., 2018; Tsega, et al., 2018). Akindele and LeMay (2006) reported that the growing stock in forestry is usually expressed in terms of timber volume and the most common procedure of obtaining this is the use of volume equations based on relationship between volume and variables such as diameter and height. For the estimation of tree volumes using one or more variables, regression equations are efficient and valuable tools to be used. These equations are generated by different authors to predict volumes thereby avoiding stressful and long procedures of data acquisition and volume calculation always (Shifley et al., 2017). Since, age structures for un-even aged forests are highly heterogeneous and complex to deduce, it is therefore replaced using other growth parameters like basal area, diameter or height. Models were developed and tested for the estimation of total and merchantable or bole volumes. The coefficient of variation followed the same trend, ranging from 8% to 93%. All the models for merchantable volume prediction were highly significant except the second model. All the assessment criteria revealed that the simulated models had good fit. The statistical fits were generally good. The conformity to regression assumptions when tested with the probability plot of residual

FAMILY	SPECIES	No of	MDbh	MHth	BA/ha	Vol/ha	CV
		stem	cm)	(m)	(m2/ha)	(m3/ha)	(m3)
Agavaceae	Dracaena mannii	2	33.50	24.28	0.08	1.88	5.43
Annonaceae	Annona arenaria	2	29.10	28.43	0.05	4.23	0.70
Anocunacia	Cleistopholis patens	13	58.27	31.52	1.81	79.33	163.93
Аросупасеае	Alstonia boonei	2	46.30	29.88	0.16	6.49	4.35
	Funtumiaelastica	10	26.52	22.84	0.23	18.80	3.51
	Holarrhena floribunda	6	22.53	20.82	0.10	9.03	1.67
	Rauvolfia vomitoria	1	25.30	23.00	0.02	1.76	1.16
Bignoniaceae	Voacangaafricana	7	25.84	17.91	0.15	5.76	3.54
	Kigelia africana	1	27.90	16.40	0.02	1.69	1.00
Bombacaceae	Spathodea campanulata	3	35.60	27.81	0.13	8.86	7.90
	Bombaxbuonopozense	1	20.70	14.58	0.01	0.15	0.49
Boraginaceae	Ceiba pentandra	2	27.85	19.98	0.05	2.19	1.15
	Cordia milleni	13	58.66	32.52	1.83	31.65	44.72
Caesalpiniaceae	Cordia platythyrsa	2	49.95	32.25	0.15	5.61	10.08
	Distemonanthusbenthamianus	1	32.50	28.00	0.03	2.51	2.32
	Anthonotha macrophylla	6	29.77	20.55	0.17	11.92	4.69
	Brachystegia eurycoma	1	47.40	25.00	0.07	3.47	4.41
Campanidaeeae	Dialium guineense	1	29.30	31.00	0.03	3.20	0.54
Cappariaaceae	Buchholzia coriacea	2	26.90	23.03	0.05	4.59	0.65
Combretaceae	Anogeissusleio carpus	2	57.05	41.62	0.21	6.44	16.44
	Terminalia ivorensis	1	23.70	23.55	0.02	1.82	1.04
Ebenaceae	Terminalia superb	3	51.60	30.30	0.30	6.35	8.62
	Diospryos dendo	14	23.99	19.03	0.26	16.41	7.43
Euphorbiaceae	Diospyros mespiliformis	10	26.91	19.90	0.23	8.43	8.90
	Croton perduliflorus	1	87.80	47.30	0.24	0.61	0.93
	Drypetes afzelii	2	35.50	26.06	0.08	5.46	1.61
	Drypetes spp	3	50.80	27.88	0.36	16.31	24.08
G	Ricinodendron heudelotii	16	71.71	38.03	3.56	66.46	114.86
Guttiferae	Allanblackia floribunda	1	51.50	32.84	0.08	4.87	6.73
Meliaceae	Entandrophragma cvlindricum	1	39.30	28.67	0.05	1.65	0.50
	Khava ivorensis	2	107.25	56.93	0.96	6.27	13.82
	Trichilia monadelpha	7	28.40	22.46	0.18	9.32	3.72
Mimosaceae	Albizia zveja	3	53.37	26.44	0.34	11.99	30.78
				20			1593.4
Moraceae	Milicia excels	4	161.20	34.64	7.74	49.94	10,011
							1
	Musanga cecropioides	5	43.43	32.14	0.31	16.33	26.32
	Myrianthus arboreus	1	47	29.5	0.07	4.69	6.85
	Treculiaafricana var nitida	1	71.80	23.75	0.16	2.43	21.76
	Trilenisium madagascariense	1	22.5	12 75	0.02	0.99	0.51
Myrislicaceae	Pycnanthus angolensis	4	46.55	28.27	0.36	13 58	16 35
Olacaceae	Strombosia nustulata	20	30.67	21.78	0.83	30.3	11.00
Panilionioideae	Pterocarnus osun	1	25.60	20.05	0.02	0.076	0.01
Rubiaceae	Mitiragyna ciliata	1	47 70	34 50	0.02	5 852	2.61
	Morinda lucida	1	33.90	29.00	0.04	3.196	2.62
_	Pausinvstaliajohimbe	2	53 50	34 60	0.18	9.088	9.07
Rutaceae	Zanthorylumzanthoryloides	4	28 40	20.48	0.11	7 792	2.07 4.58
Sanotaceae	Malacantha alnifolia	4	25.40	17 73	0.00	2 964	2.00
Storculiacoao	Cola gigantea		39 50	25.80	0.05	3 088	0.95
siercultuceue	Cola snn	2	22.15	15.00	0.03	1.016	1 20
	Nesogordonia nanavorifera	2	22.15	21.02	0.05	0.168	0.03
	Ptemoota macrocarra	2 0	27.00	21.02	0.05	33 024	17.65
	r terygota macrocarpa	9	39.68	27.18	0.50	33.924	17.05

### Table 1. List of tree species, families and growth parameters of trees involved in model generation for pooled data

# Table 3. Spearman correlation matrix for pooled tree growth variables in Omo Forest Reserve

16

5

1

43

6

276

42.36

24.32

21.60

28.66

59.88

2306.87

26.76

19.98

24.40

22.75

33.61

\*\*

1.07

0.10

0.02

1.18

0.82

\*\*

50.204

6.772

1.432

45.052

27.776

\*\*

30.53

4.87

0.13

36.96

25.44

\*\*

	Dbh (m)	THt (m)	BA(m2)	LnBA(m <sup>2</sup> )	Tvol(m <sup>3</sup> )	LnTVol(m <sup>3</sup> )	MHt (m)
Dbh(m)	1						
TotalHt(	0.837	1					
m)							
BA(m2)	1.00**	0.837	1				
$LnBA(m^2)$ 1.0	**00	0.837	1.00**	1			
TVol(m3)	0.699	0.595	0.699	0.699	1		
LnTVol(	0.699	0.595	0.699	0.699	1.00**	1	
m3)							
MHt(m)	0.819	0.907	0.819	0.819	0.630	0.630	1

\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (1-tailed).

Tiliaceae

Ulmaceae

Sterculia rhinopetala

Desplatsiasubericrapa

Celtis brownii

Celtis mildbraedii

Celtis zenkeri TOTAL



Fig. 1. Diameter distribution curve for Omo Biosphere Reserve and Elephant forest



Fig. 2. Predicted total, merchantable V (m3) and Residual plot for allometry model validation for Biosphere reserve



Fig. 3. Predicted total, merchantable V (m3) and Residual plot for allometry model validation for Elephant reserve

and predicted values conformed to the observation of Ajit (2010). The scatter-plots were consistent with the results of other statistical indices for validation. This shows that the regression assumptions were not violated (Adekunle et al., 2013). The best model (Model 1) was validated with the use of residual plot. This confirms the goodness of fit of the best model as the data were randomly distributed. There were no upward and downward bias as the data falls between the positive and negative axes. Also, the regression line is at zero.

#### Conclusion

Volume estimation is critical to forest resource management. Estimation of this parameter is usually confounded by factors such as lack of equipment for measurement of tree height and upper diameter, difficulties in measurement of tree height in tropical forests, the complex architectural structure of tropical forests and the high cost of inventory work. To avoid this problem, models for total and bole volume estimation were developed in this study.

#### Acknowledgement

This contributions and assistance of Prof V.A.J. Adekunle is highly acknowledged and appreciated.

### REFERENCES

- Adekunle VAJ. 2007. Non-linear regression models for timber volume estimation in natural forest ecosystem, Southwest Nigeria. *Research Journal ofForestry*.1: 40–54pp.
- Adekunle, V.A.J., Nair, K.N., Srivastava, A. K. and Singh, N. K. (2013). Models and form factors for stand volume estimation in natural forest ecosystems: a case study of Katarniaghat Wildlife Sanctuary (KGWS), Bahraich District, India. *Journal of Forestry Research* 24: 217-226pp.
- Adekunle, V.A.J., Olagoke, A.O. and Akindele, S.O. 2013. Tree Species Diversity and Structure of a Nigerian Strict Nature Reserve, *Tropical Ecology*, 54(3), 275-289pp.
- Adekunle, V.A.J., Dafiewhare, H.B., and Ajibode, O.F. 2005. Microbia Population and Diversity as Influenced by Soil pH and Organic Matter in Different Forest Ecosystems, *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, 8(10), 1478-1484pp.
- Adekunle V.A.J., Olagoke A. O., and Ogundare L.F. 2010. Rate of timber production in a Tropical rainforest ecosystem of South West Nigeria and its implications on a Sustainable forest management. *Journal of Forestry Research* 21: 225-230pp.
- Ajit S. 2010. Estimation and validation methods in tree volume and biomass modelling: statistical concept. National Research Centre for Agroforestry, Jhansi, India 18p.
- Akindele, S.O and LeMay, V. M. 2006. Development of tree volume equations for common timber species in the tropical rain forest area of Nigeria. *Forest Ecology Management*, 226: 41-48pp.
- Amusa T.O., Jimoh S.O. and Azeez I. O. 2012. Prevalence, Utilization and Conservation Strategies for Non-Timber Forest Products in South western Zone of Nigeria. *Resources and Environment*, 2(1): 46-54pp
- Fashinmirin, J.T. and Oguntuase, A.M. 2008. Soil moisture distribution pattern in *Amaranthus cruentus* filed under drip irrigation system, *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. Vol. 3(7), 486-493.
- Garcia Oscar 2004. *Forest Mensuration I: Statics*, British Columbia, 29 pp.

- Hoyer, Gerald E. 1985. Tree form quotients as variables in volume estimation. Res. Pap. (Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station) PNW-345, Portland, 16 pp.
- Husch B., Beers T.W., Kershaw J.A. 2003. *Forest Mensuration.* 4th Ed. John Wiley and Sons, Inc. New Jersey, USA. 949 pp.
- Isichei, A. O. 1995. Omo biosphere reserve, current status, utilization of biological resources and sustainable management. UNESCO South-South Cooperation Program. Paper 11.
- Kessler, M., Keber, P.J.A., S. R. Gradstein, K. Bach, M. Schmull and R. Pitopand. 2005. Tree species diversity in primary forest and different land use systems in Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. Biodiversity and Conservation 14: 547-560pp.
- Luo, J., Zhang, M., Zhou, X., Chen, J., & Tian, Y. 2018. Tree Height and DBH Growth Model Establishment of Main Tree Species in Wuling Mountain Small Watershed. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 108(4).
- Mulatu, K. A., Mora, B., Kooistra, L., & Herold, M. 2017. Biodiversity monitoring in changing tropical forests: A review of approaches and new opportunities. *Remote Sensing*. 9(1059): 22pp. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs9101059
- Ogunleye A. J. 2003. The Uses of Forest Leaves in Omo Forest Reserve, Ogun State, Nigeria.
- Onyekwelu, J. C., Mosandl R., and Stimm B. 2008. Tree Species Diversity and Soil Status of Primary and Degraded Tropical Rainforest Ecosystems in South-Western Nigeria, *Journal of Tropical Forest Science* 20(3): 193–204.
- Okali, D.U.U. and Ola-Adams, B.A. 1987. Tree population changes in treated rain forest at Omo Forest Reserve, Nigeria. Journal of Tropical Ecology 3:291-313.
- Nigeria National Mab Committee. 2014. Biodiversity Inventory of Omo Biosphere Reserve: GEBR Project Report, B.A. Ola-Adams (Editor).
- Schumacher, F.X and Hall, F.S. 1993. Logarithmic expression of timber tree volume, *Journal of Agric. Res.* 47(9): 719-734pp.
- Shifley, S. R., He, H. S., Lischke, H., Wang, W. J., Jin, W., Gustafson, E. J., ... Yang, J. 2017. The past and future of modeling forest dynamics: from growth and yield curves to forest landscape models. *Landscape Ecology*, 32(7), 1307– 1325pp.
- Tsega, M., Guadie, A., Teffera, Z. L., Belayneh, Y., & Niu, D. 2018. Development and Validation of Height-Diameter Models for *Cupressus lusitanica* in Gergeda Forest, Ethiopia. *Forest Science and Technology*, 14(3), 138–144.
- Turner, I. M., 2001. The Ecology of Trees in the Tropical Rainforest. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge. U.K 312pp.
- Were, J. L. R. 2001. Nigerian Lowland Forests.
- www.worldwildlife.org/wildworld/profiles/terrestrial/at/at0123 full.html.
- West P. W. 2004. Tree and Forest Measurement. Springer, Germany. 167pp.
- Zakaria, M., Rajpar, M. N., Ozdemir, I., & Rosli, Z. 2016. Fauna Diversity in Tropical Rainforest: Threats from Land-Use Change. In *Tropical Forests - The Challenges of Maintaining Ecosystem Services while Managing the Landscape* (pp. 11–49).
- Zobeiri M. 2000. Forest Inventory. University of Tehran Press, Tehran, 401pp.