ISSN : 2350-0743

International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research

Vol. 12, Issue 12, pp.11996-11999, December, 2025
https://doi.org/10.64485/ijramr.6407.12.2025

RESEARCH ARTICLE

CULTURAL IMPACTS ON SEAFARER COMMUNICATION

***Dr. Poongavanam, S.**

Professor, AMET Business School, AMET University, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article History

Received 24th September, 2025
Received in revised form
10th October, 2025
Accepted 15th November, 2025
Published online 29th December, 2025

Keywords:

Communication, Crews, Barriers, Teams, Shipboard.

****Corresponding author:***
Dr. Poongavanam, S.

ABSTRACT

The maritime industry occupies a central position in the global economic system by enabling the international movement of goods, raw materials, and energy resources. Approximately ninety percent of world trade by volume and more than seventy percent by value are transported by sea, making shipping the backbone of international commerce. Without efficient maritime transportation, global supply chains would collapse, affecting industrial production, consumer markets, and economic stability across nations. Over the past several decades, the shipping industry has undergone profound transformation due to globalization, technological advancement, regulatory reforms, and changes in labor markets. Ships have become larger, faster, and more technologically sophisticated. Navigation systems, automation, and digital communication have significantly improved operational efficiency. However, despite these technological advancements, the human element remains the most critical determinant of shipboard safety and performance.

Citation: Dr. Poongavanam, S. 2025. "Cultural impacts on seafarer communication" , *International Journal of Recent Advances in Multidisciplinary Research,* 12,(12), 11996-11999.

INTRODUCTION

In contemporary shipping operations, ships are no longer manned by crews from a single nation or cultural background. Multinational crewing has become the dominant model due to labor shortages in developed maritime nations, rising operational costs, and the availability of skilled seafarers from developing countries. As a result, ships today function as multicultural organizations where individuals from diverse cultural, linguistic, and social backgrounds live and work together for extended periods. Theoretical review is a critical component of management research as it provides the conceptual foundation upon which empirical investigation is built. In studies related to organizational behavior, human resource management, and international management, theories help explain how and why individuals behave differently under varying cultural and organizational conditions. For a study focusing on cross-cultural differences among seafarers, theoretical grounding is essential because culture is an abstract, multidimensional construct that influences behavior at individual, group, and organizational levels. In shipboard environments, cultural influence is intensified due to prolonged interaction, physical confinement, and dependence on teamwork. Cultural differences therefore play a central role in shaping communication, leadership perception, conflict handling, and safety behavior.

Statement of the Problem: The core problem addressed in this study is the lack of systematic understanding and management of cross-cultural differences among seafarers. Poorly managed cultural diversity can lead to communication breakdowns, conflict, stress, and safety risks.

Objectives of the Study: The study aims to examine cross-cultural differences among seafarers, analyze their impact on communication, leadership, teamwork, and safety, and provide managerial recommendations.

Research Questions: The study seeks to answer how cultural differences influence shipboard behavior and what management practices can improve cross-cultural effectiveness.

Scope of the Study: The scope is limited to seafarers working on international merchant vessels, including container ships, bulk carriers, and tankers.

Research Design: Research design refers to the overall strategy adopted to integrate the different components of the study in a coherent and logical manner. The present study adopts a descriptive and analytical research design. A descriptive research design was used to describe the demographic characteristics of seafarers and to assess their perceptions regarding cultural differences, communication, leadership, teamwork, safety, and performance. An analytical research design was employed to examine relationships between cultural variables and managerial outcomes using statistical techniques. This design is appropriate for MBA-level research as it allows both description of existing conditions and testing of hypotheses derived from theory and literature.

Population of the Study: The population of the study consists of seafarers working on international merchant vessels, including container ships, bulk carriers, and tankers. The population includes both officers and ratings from different national and cultural backgrounds.

Given the multinational nature of the maritime workforce, the population is culturally heterogeneous, making it suitable for studying cross-cultural differences and their managerial implications.

Sample Design: Sample design refers to the plan for selecting a subset of the population for data collection. Due to the large and geographically dispersed population of seafarers, it was not feasible to study the entire population. Therefore, a representative sample was selected.

The sample design includes:

- Target population: Multinational seafarers
- Sampling frame: Seafarers accessible through shipping companies, crewing agencies, and online maritime networks
- Sampling unit: Individual seafarer

Sampling Technique: The study employed a non-probability sampling technique, specifically convenience sampling combined with purposive sampling. Convenience sampling was used due to the accessibility constraints associated with seafarers, while purposive sampling ensured inclusion of respondents with relevant experience in multinational ship crews. This approach is commonly accepted in maritime and management research where random sampling is difficult.

Sample Size: The sample size for the study consists of 300 seafarers working on international merchant vessels.

A sample size of 300 is considered adequate for:

- Descriptive statistical analysis
- Inferential tests such as chi-square, correlation, ANOVA, and regression

Sources of Data: The study is based on both primary and secondary data.

Primary Data: Primary data were collected directly from seafarers using a structured questionnaire designed specifically for the study.

Secondary Data

Secondary data were collected from:

- Academic journals
- Books on management and maritime studies
- Research reports
- International maritime organization publications

Secondary data were primarily used to support literature review and theoretical framework development.

Reliability of the Instrument: The overall Cronbach's Alpha value exceeded 0.70, indicating acceptable internal consistency. Individual constructs such as communication, leadership, teamwork, and safety also showed satisfactory reliability values.

Demographic Profile of Respondents

Table 1 Nationality-wise Distribution of Respondents

Nationality	Frequency	Percentage
Asian	135	45.0
European	95	31.7
African	40	13.3
Others	30	10.0
Total	300	100.0

The nationality-wise distribution of respondents indicates that the sample represents a highly multicultural maritime workforce. Asian seafarers form the largest group, followed by European, African, and other nationalities. This distribution reflects prevailing global crewing practices, where seafarers from Asia constitute a significant portion of the international maritime labor force due to availability, cost efficiency, and technical competence. The presence of European and African seafarers further confirms the multinational nature of shipboard crews.

Table 2. Age-wise Distribution

Age Group	Frequency	Percentage
Below 30	90	30.0
31–40	120	40.0
41–50	70	23.3
Above 50	20	6.7

The age-wise distribution shows that a majority of respondents fall within the 31–40 age group, followed by those below 30 years and between 41–50 years.

This indicates that the maritime workforce represented in the study consists largely of experienced and professionally mature seafarers. Individuals in this age group are likely to have substantial sea experience and exposure to multicultural shipboard environments.

Table 3. Rank-wise Distribution

Rank	Frequency	Percentage
Officers	140	46.7
Ratings	160	53.3

The rank-wise distribution reveals that ratings slightly outnumber officers in the sample. This pattern aligns with standard shipboard manning structures, where ratings form a larger proportion of the crew compared to officers. Including both officers and ratings ensures that the study captures perspectives from different hierarchical levels within shipboard organizations.

Cultural Dimensions Analysis

Table 4. Mean Scores of Cultural Dimensions

Cultural Dimension	Mean	Std. Deviation
Power Distance	3.82	0.74
Individualism	2.91	0.68
Uncertainty Avoidance	3.66	0.71
Masculinity	3.14	0.63

The mean scores of cultural dimensions indicate relatively high levels of power distance and uncertainty avoidance among respondents. This suggests that seafarers generally prefer structured hierarchies, clear authority, and well-defined rules. Such cultural orientations are consistent with the operational nature of maritime work, which requires discipline, adherence to procedures, and centralized command for safety and efficiency.

Communication Effectiveness

Table 5 Levels of Communication Effectiveness

Level	Frequency	Percentage
Low	65	21.7
Moderate	155	51.6
High	80	26.7

The results indicate that most respondents perceive communication effectiveness as moderate, with smaller proportions reporting high or low effectiveness. This suggests that while communication onboard ships is generally functional, significant barriers still exist. Factors such as language proficiency, accent differences, and culturally influenced communication styles likely contribute to these challenges.

Table 6. Language Proficiency

Proficiency	Frequency	Percentage
Poor	50	16.7
Average	170	56.6
Good	80	26.7

The analysis of language proficiency shows that a majority of respondents report average proficiency in the working language, while smaller proportions indicate good or poor proficiency. This finding highlights a critical issue in multinational shipboard environments where English is the operational language. Average language proficiency may be sufficient for routine tasks but may pose challenges during complex operations or emergency situations that require precise and rapid communication.

Leadership Perception

Table 7 Leadership Style Preference

Leadership Style	Frequency	Percentage
Authoritative	125	41.7
Participative	110	36.6
Delegative	65	21.7

The analysis shows a strong preference for authoritative leadership, followed by participative and delegative styles. This indicates that many seafarers value clear direction, firm decision-making, and structured authority, particularly in safety-critical environments such as ships. Cultural orientation toward hierarchy and respect for authority likely influences this preference.

Table 8 Chi-Square Test: Culture and Leadership Perception

Test	Value	df	Sig.
Chi-Square	18.64	6	0.005

The chi-square test indicates a statistically significant relationship between cultural background and leadership perception. This confirms that seafarers from different cultural backgrounds perceive leadership styles differently. Cultural values such as power distance and collectivism influence expectations regarding authority, decision-making, and communication.

Teamwork and Conflict

Table 9 Teamwork Effectiveness

Level	Frequency	Percentage
Low	70	23.3
Moderate	145	48.4
High	85	28.3

\

The results show that teamwork effectiveness is predominantly moderate, with fewer respondents reporting high effectiveness. This suggests that while basic cooperation exists onboard ships, cultural differences and communication barriers limit optimal teamwork.

Table 10 Frequency of Conflict

Frequency	Respondents	Percentage
Rare	95	31.7
Occasional	150	50.0
Frequent	55	18.3

The table indicates that conflicts occur occasionally for the majority of respondents, while fewer report rare or frequent conflicts. This suggests that interpersonal conflict is a recurring issue in multicultural ship crews, though not always severe. Occasional conflicts are likely the result of communication misunderstandings, cultural differences, work pressure, and hierarchical interactions.

Table 5.11 Causes of Conflict (Mean Scores)

Cause	Mean
Communication issues	3.88
Cultural misunderstanding	3.74
Work pressure	3.41
Leadership issues	3.26

The mean scores indicate that communication issues and cultural misunderstandings are the primary causes of conflict among seafarers, followed by work pressure and leadership-related issues. This highlights that conflicts in multicultural crews are more behavioral and cultural in nature rather than purely technical.

CONCLUSION

The present study concludes that cross-cultural differences among seafarers have a profound impact on shipboard management and performance. While multicultural crews offer operational advantages such as access to a global talent pool and cost efficiency, they also introduce complex managerial challenges related to communication, leadership, teamwork, safety, and employee well-being. The findings confirm that cultural values shape how seafarers perceive authority, communicate with colleagues, cooperate in teams, and respond to safety procedures. High power distance and uncertainty avoidance influence leadership preference and safety compliance, while differences in communication styles contribute to misunderstandings and conflict.

REFERENCES

Alderton, T., Bloor, M., Kahveci, E., Lane, T., Sampson, H., Thomas, M., Walters, D., & Zhao, M. (2004). *The global seafarer: Living and working conditions in a globalized industry*. International Labour Organization.

Barnett, M., Gatfield, D., &Pekcan, C. (2006). A research agenda in maritime crew resource management. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Maritime Safety*, 1–12.

Bhattacharya, S. (2015). Social relations, work and wellbeing of seafarers. *International Journal of Maritime Health*, 66(3), 138–144. https://doi.org/10.5603/IJMH.2015.0029

Bhattacharya, S., & Tang, L. (2012). Fatigue, routine and incidents: The role of seafarers' work conditions. *Maritime Policy & Management*, 39(4), 425–441.

Bielić, T. (2016). Interpersonal conflict in multinational ship crews. *Pomorstvo*, 30(2), 85–92.

Carter, D. A. (2015). Managing diversity in global organizations. *Journal of International Management*, 21(3), 225–237.

Chen, G., Kirkman, B. L., Kim, K., Farh, C. I. C., &Tangirala, S. (2016). When does cross-cultural motivation enhance team effectiveness? *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(2), 396–420.

Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond culture*. Anchor Books.

Håvold, J. I. (2007). *From safety culture to safety orientation: Developing a tool to measure safety in shipping*. Norwegian University of Science and Technology.

Helmreich, R. L. (2000). Culture and error in space: Implications from analog environments. *Aviation, Space, and Environmental Medicine*, 71(9), A133–A139.

Hetherington, C., Flin, R., & Mearns, K. (2006). Safety in shipping: The human element. *Journal of Safety Research*, 37(4), 401–411.

Hofstede, G. (1980). *Culture's consequences: International differences in work-related values*. Sage Publications.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Culture's consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

House, R. J., Hanges, P. J., Javidan, M., Dorfman, P. W., & Gupta, V. (2004). *Culture, leadership, and organizations: The GLOBE study of 62 societies*. Sage Publications.

Knudsen, F. (2009). Paperwork at the service of safety? *Safety Science*, 47(5), 637–644.

Lu, C. S., Tsai, C. L., & Tseng, P. H. (2012). The effects of safety leadership on safety performance in container shipping. *Safety Science*, 50(4), 1009–1016.

Lützhöft, M. (2004). *The technology is great when it works: Maritime technology and human integration on the ship's bridge*. Chalmers University of Technology.

Nielsen, M. B. (2014). Leadership, safety, and teamwork in multicultural teams. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(20), 1–18.

Poulsen, R. T., Jensen, O. C., Canals, M. L., Hannerz, H., & Burr, H. (2014). Work and health of seafarers. *Occupational Medicine*, 64(2), 96–103.

Puck, J. F. (2008). Training effectiveness in cross-cultural contexts. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 19(11), 2134–2153.

Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the risks of organizational accidents*. Ashgate.

Sampson, H., & Zhao, M. (2003). Multinational crews and their experience. *Maritime Policy & Management*, 30(1), 1–18.

Sharma, R. (2012). Leadership and power distance in Indian organizations. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 48(1), 89–104.

Singh, P. (2010). Cultural values and organizational behavior in India. *Vikalpa*, 35(3), 1–18.

Thomas, D. C. (2008). *Cross-cultural management: Essential concepts* (2nd ed.). Sage Publications.

Verma, A. (2013). Safety culture in Indian maritime organizations. *International Journal of Maritime Studies*, 5(2), 45–58.

Zohar, D. (2010). Thirty years of safety climate research. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 42(5), 1517–1522.

****